<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Textbook/supplemental material</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of assigned homework</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of laboratory experiments/projects</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight given to labs or projects</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight given to homework assignments</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Def. &amp; application of criteria for grading</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course plan or objective clearly stated</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVERALL Mean for Course</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The instructor was well prepared</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The inst. communicated ideas clearly</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The inst. or assistants were available</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The inst. exhibited enthusiasm &amp; interest</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The inst. related material to applications</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Class discussion was encouraged
5's: 15, 4's: 7, 3's: 2, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Used examples and illust. effectively
5's: 12, 4's: 10, 3's: 2, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Course material was well organized
5's: 14, 4's: 8, 3's: 2, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

OVERALL Mean for Instructor
5's: 114, 4's: 61, 3's: 17, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Overall quality of the course and inst.
5's: 12, 4's: 9, 3's: 1, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Quality, quantity & availability of equip.
5's: 9, 4's: 10, 3's: 5, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Room and facilities adequate for # of students
5's: 13, 4's: 7, 3's: 4, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Was the room equiped with chairs, tables, etc.
5's: 11, 4's: 10, 3's: 3, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Course scheduled such as to promote learning
5's: 13, 4's: 8, 3's: 3, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

OVERALL Mean for Other Factors
5's: 58, 4's: 44, 3's: 16, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,
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BUS 406 101 LANNY WILKE

What did you like most about the course?
- I enjoyed the applications and the difficulties and challenges that came with them. I like how Lanny was willing to help outside of class. I enjoyed the way he lectured and related the lecture to our home work
- teacher
- Lanny makes things interesting & I find this class to be interesting
- applications
- I like that Lanny was available for questions & held workshops for the web page
- It was challenging but very rewarding. Lanny is a great instructor - one of the favorites!
- the application, knowing how to do them
- I learned a lot about Excel and Access and was able to learn about spreadsheets. Lanny is a wonderful teacher
- your flexibility with helping students during & outside of class makes quality instruction
- the applications were challenging and the professor was very helpful
- hands on
- computer usage and problem solving
- there was a lot of repeated assignments to learn more of the programs
- learning the skills and knowledge to work on computer info systems
- availability of instructor as well as extra help
- challenging
- I like everything. The way Lanny is teaching and helping us and everything

What did you like least about the course?
- some people look a long time to catch on so we went fairly slow
- difficult content
- too much assignment
- the amount of “busy work”
- it was scheduled during lunch!
- all the assignments
- that we weren’t able to have class in a computer lab
- the tests were hard!
- high detailed quiz/4s
- not knowing what to do on the Access applications
- it was hard & Lanny was telling us and not showing so when it is done on our own, we didn’t understand
- not much
- many boring assignments
- not enough hands on computer work

How would you improve the course?
- move it to a classroom w/computer, so that, we could see some of the processes easier. I would like to take a course that took what we have learned and continue on
- give it 2 semesters
- pre-requirement on the course, spreadsheet, database etc
- more hands on exercise in class
- Lanny does a great job! He should keep it up
- more in-class work
- nm/a
- nothing
- more learning on the computers - if Lanny could have showed us more examples. Access would have been easier for us to sue
- since there is so much homework maybe work in class sometimes
- more time on the computers
- more hands on practice in class
- great as is
- nothing. Everything is OK
- be in the computer lab all the time
Key: U=University; D=Department; I=Instructor
Scale: 5=Excellent, 4=Very Good, 3=Good, 2=Needs Improvement, 1=Unacceptable
(Standard Deviation indicated by dashes, eg: ---------I-------- indicates .9)

Textbook/supplemental material
5's: 2, 4's: 2, 3's: 1, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.99 ---------U---------
3.90 ---------C---------
4.20 ---------I---------

Value of assigned homework
5's: 2, 4's: 3, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.84 ---------U---------
4.01 ---------C---------
4.40 ---------I---------

Value of laboratory experiments/projects
5's: 3, 4's: 2, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.84 ---------U---------
4.08 ---------C---------
4.59 ---------I---------

Weight given to labs or projects
5's: 3, 4's: 2, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.74 ---------U---------
4.01 ---------C---------
4.59 ---------I---------

Weight given to homework assignments
5's: 2, 4's: 3, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.72 ---------U---------
3.96 ---------C---------
4.40 ---------I---------

Def. & application of criteria for grading
5's: 2, 4's: 2, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.89 ---------U---------
4.07 ---------C---------
4.50 ---------I---------

Course plan or objective clearly stated
5's: 2, 4's: 3, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
4.01 ---------U---------
4.11 ---------C---------
4.40 ---------I---------

OVERALL Mean for Course
5's: 16, 4's: 17, 3's: 1, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.85 ---------U---------
4.02 ---------C---------
4.44 ---------I---------

The instructor was well prepared
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
4.18 ---------U---------
4.26 ---------C---------
4.80 ---------I---------

The inst. communicated ideas clearly
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.98 ---------U---------
4.05 ---------C---------
4.80 ---------I---------

The inst. or assistants were available
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
3.98 ---------U---------
4.18 ---------C---------
4.80 ---------I---------

The inst. exhibited enthusiasm & interest
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Mean
4.28 ---------U---------
4.34 ---------C---------
4.80 ---------I---------

The inst. related material to applications
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,
Class discussion was encouraged
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Used examples and illust. effectively
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Course material was well organized
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

OVERALL Mean for Instructor
5's: 32, 4's: 8, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Overall quality of the course and inst.
5's: 3, 4's: 2, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Quality, quantity & availability of equip.
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Room and facilities adequate for # of students
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Was the room equipped with chairs, tables, etc.
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

Course scheduled such as to promote learning
5's: 4, 4's: 1, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,

OVERALL Mean for Other Factors
5's: 19, 4's: 6, 3's: 0, 2's: 0, 1's: 0,
BUED 230    Lanny Wilke

What did you like most about the course?
- I liked learning to file and I liked our business machine practice set. Lanny always help if you need him to and he is a funny guy
- it is like real life office job. That's what I want to do
- the teacher & the way he taught it
- RPM book
- working independently
- that it was hands on

What did you like least about the course?
- filing, too much busy work
- nothing
- filing practice set
- too much work for a 2 credit class
- n/a

How would you improve the course?
- not do much work for a 2 credit class
- nothing
- tell the people who come in to use computers to leave during our class time. My computer was always in use by some old lady
- make it a 3 credit course
- put more computer work into it